arXiv:1608.01615v1 [math-ph] 4 Aug 2016

Dynamics of Large Boson Systems with Attractive Interaction and A Derivation of the Cubic Focusing NLS in $\mathbb{R}^3$

Jacky Jia Wei Chong

University of Maryland, College Park

Abstract

Let us consider a many-body Boson system where the particles experience a short range two-body interparticle interaction given by $v_N(x) = N^{3\beta}v(N^\beta x)$ with $v \in C_0^\infty$, without specifying a definite sign on v, for some range of the scaling parameter β. Our main goal is to extend the results of Grillakis, Machedon and Kuz in [14, 20] regarding the second-order correction to mean field evolution of coherent states in the Fock space to the case of attractive interaction for $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$. The two key ingredients in our extension to this case of indefinite sign potentials are the proofs of the uniform existence of global solutions to a family of Hartree-type equations and the corresponding L^∞-decay estimates on the solutions. Inspired by the recent works of X. Chen & J. Holmer in [2, 3], we also provided both a derivation of the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) in 3D from the many-body Boson system and a rate of convergence toward mean field. In particular, we provided two derivations of the focusing NLS using both the pair excitation method and a method introduced by Pickl. For the latter method, we prove a regularity condition for the solution to the NLS which subsequently feeds into the works of Pickl in [22, 23]. As a consequence, we are able to give a rigorous derivation of the focusing cubic NLS in $\mathbb{R}^3$ for $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{6}$. Despite most of the techniques used in this note are standard in the literature of dispersive PDEs, the derivation of the focusing NLS had only previously been considered by the works of Chen & Holmer in [2, 3] for dimensions 1 & 2 and conditionally answered for the case $\mathbb{R}^3$ in Pickl's paper [23].

1 Introduction

Bose-Einstein condensation, described informally, is a physical phenomenon that occur when a dilute gas[1] of indistinguishable integer-spin particles[2] undergoing extreme cooling experience a phase transition where a macroscopic fraction of the particles occupy a single quantum state (in other words, sharing the same wavefunction)[3]. Historically, Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) was predicted by Albert Einstein in the 1920s [4] long before its first realization in atomic gases by a series of experiments conducted on vapors of alkali metals in 1995. In June of 1995, for the

[1] Dilute means the range of the interparticle interaction is much bigger than the scattering length.

[2] In relativistic quantum, Bosons are classified, by the Spin-Statistic theorem, to be particles with integer intrinsic spin. However, for this note we are working in the realm of non-relativistic quantum physics where the Bosonic property of particles are captured by the symmetric structure of the wave function.

[3] The accumulation of Bosons in a single quantum state provides a demonstration of quantum effects at a macroscopic scale.

[4] Einstein considered the non-interacting case.

first time, the JILA group led by Eric Cornell and Carl Wieman at the University of Colorado at Boulder NIST-JILA lab were able to achieve a condensation limit in a gas of rubidium, ^{87}Rb, inside a magnetic trap using the decisive combination of techniques of laser cooling and evaporative cooling to lower the temperature of the substance to a mere 20 nK (nano-kelvin). Four months after the publication of JILA group, a group at MIT led by Wolfgang Ketterle were able to exhibit BEC using sodium, ^{23}Na, but with many times more atoms then the experiment by the JILA group. In effect, Ketterle's group were also able to demonstrate and measure many important properties of BEC. Consequently, the demonstrations by the two groups greatly increased both the experimental and theoretical activities in the field of large Boson systems.

In recent years, many mathematicians have made vigorous attempts at tackling the theory of many-body quantum mechanical systems in order to understand the evolution problem of condensates in an absolute zero temperature environment[5]. One of the difficulties of modeling BEC is in fact the size of the consendate. Since an N-body interacting Boson system is model by a symmetric wave function with $3N+1$ variables, then the study of the evolution of the wave function becomes impractical when N is large, say $N \sim 10^3$.[6] Thus, it is favorable to try to find a more effective description of the large interacting Boson system in a lower dimension space, i.e. "project the original PDE of $3N+1$ variables to a PDE with much lesser variables, say 3+1". This idea of trying to find an effective description for the N-body Boson system leads to the studies of mean field approximation of a large particle system.

Despite the simplicity behind the idea of finding an effective description for a large particle system[7], the mathematical rigor of the mean field approximation is relatively involved. In particular, the problem of finding an effective description for BEC has only been studied systematically during the last 10-15 years in a series of papers by Elgart, Erdös, Schlein and Yau [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Using the formalism of quantum BBGKY Hierarchy, they were able to extract a mean field limit in the large particle limit, i.e. as $N \to \infty$, and show that the limit satisfies the defocusing cubic NLS.[8]

Let us briefly discuss the mathematical setting for our problem. Consider a N-body Boson system in $\mathbb{R}^3$ whose dynamics is governed by the N-body linear Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi_N = H_N \Psi_N \tag{1}$$

with the N-body Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_N = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta_{x_j} - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i<j} v_N(x_i - x_j). \tag{2}$$

By imposing a factorized condition on our initial datum, i.e. $\Psi_N(0, x_1, \ldots, x_N) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi_0(x_j)$, we have obtained an appropriate model for studying the evolution of BEC[9].

Formally, we say a N-body Boson system exhibits the *complete BEC* property provided the one-particle marginal density operator factorizes in trace norm as $N \to \infty$, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Tr}\,|\gamma_N^{(1)} - \phi^{\otimes 2}| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad N \to \infty$$

[5] A rigorous study of evolution of condensates in a finite temperature setting is not well known.

[6] Many-body quantum system are well studied in physics. In particular, the general method for studying a large particle system is via a quantum statistical description using density matrices.

[7] Equilibrium mean field theory is well studied in an introductory course on statistical mechanics. For the nonequilirium case, we refer the interested reader to the survey by F. Golse [12].

[8] The authors assumed a positive interaction potential.

[9] Cf. chapter 1.3 and 7 of [21].

for some ϕ where the kernel of $\gamma_N^{(1)}$ is given by

$$\gamma_N^{(1)}(x,x') = \int d\mathbf{x}\ \Psi_N^*(x,\mathbf{x})\Psi_N(x',\mathbf{x}) \quad x,x' \in \mathbb{R}^3 \ \text{ and } \ \mathbf{x} \in .\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$$

Indeed, using this definition, one could show the fact "BEC stays BEC time evolution" as in [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Then a natural question one could ask is whether the above statement holds true in state space, i.e. if we start with a factorized initial condition then under time evolution

$$\Psi_N(t,x_1,\ldots,x_N) \to \prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(t,x_j)e^{i\chi(t)}$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ as $N \to \infty$ where $e^{i\chi(t)}$ is just a phase. Unfortunately, the answer is negative. Hence one of our goals is to see what modification is needed in order for us to get a better understanding of the structure of Ψ_N in state space.

2 Earlier Results and Main Statements

2.1 Background and Earlier Results

This section will provide a brief summary of the results obtained in [13, 14, 15, 19] along with some background materials for the convenience of the reader.

Let us introduce the mathematical setting for our article. Our one-particle base space, denote by $\mathfrak{h} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathrm{d}x)$, is a complex separable Hilbert space endowed with the inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}$ which is linear in the second variable and conjugate linear (or anti-linear) in the first variable [10].

We define the *Bosonic Fock space over a Hilbert space* $\mathfrak{h}$ to be the closure of

$$\mathcal{F}_s(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathcal{F}_s := \mathbb{C} \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{h}^{\otimes n})$$

with respect to the norm induced by the *Fock inner product*

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \bar{\varphi_0}\psi_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \varphi_n, \psi_n \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}^{\otimes n}} \tag{3}$$

where $\varphi = (\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \ldots), \psi = (\psi_0, \psi_1, \ldots) \in \mathcal{F}_s(\mathfrak{h})$. For convenience, we will simply refer to $\mathcal{F}_s$ as the Fock space henceforth. The *vacuum*, denote by Ω, is defined to be the Fock vector $(1,0,0,\ldots) \in \mathcal{F}_s$.

For every $\phi \in \mathfrak{h}$ we can define the *creation* and *annihilation* operators on $\mathcal{F}_s$ associated to the field ϕ, denote respectively by $a^\dagger(\phi)$ and $a(\bar{\phi})$, as follow

$$(a^\dagger(\phi)\psi)_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi(x_j)\psi_{n-1}(x_1,\ldots,\hat{x}_j,\ldots,x_n) \tag{4}$$

$$(a(\bar{\phi})\psi)_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n) := \sqrt{n+1} \int \mathrm{d}x\ \bar{\phi}(x)\psi_{n+1}(x,x_1,\ldots,x_n). \tag{5}$$

[10] This is the physicists' inner product.

with the property that $a(\phi)\Omega = 0$. Also we could define the corresponding creation and annihilation distribution-valued operators denote by $a_x^\dagger$ and a_x as follow

$$(a_x^\dagger \psi)_n := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta(x - x_j)\psi_{n-1}(x_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_j, \ldots, x_n) \tag{6}$$

and

$$(a_x \psi)_n := \sqrt{n+1}\psi_{n+1}(x, x_1, \ldots, x_n). \tag{7}$$

In short, we have the following relation

$$a^\dagger(\phi) = \int \mathrm{d}x \ \{\phi(x) a_x^\dagger\} \quad \text{and} \quad a(\bar{\phi}) = \int \mathrm{d}x \ \{\bar{\phi}(x) a_x\}. \tag{8}$$

Let us note that creation and annihilation operators $a(\bar{\phi})$ and $a^\dagger(\phi)$ associated to the field ϕ are unbounded, densely defined, closed operators. Moreover, one could easily verify, formally, $(a_x^\dagger, a_x)$ satisfy the canocial commutation relation (CCR): $[a_x, a_y^\dagger] = \delta(x-y)$, $[a_x, a_y] = [a_x^\dagger, a_y^\dagger] = 0$[11], and the *number operator* defined by

$$\mathcal{N} := \int \mathrm{d}x \ a_x^\dagger a_x \tag{9}$$

is a diagonal operator on $\mathcal{F}$ that counts the number of particles in each sector.

As mention in the introduction we are interested in studying the time evolution of the coherent state in Fock space. Before doing so, let us define the initial datum, the coherent state and the Fock Hamiltonian. For each $\phi \in \mathfrak{h}$, we associate the corresponding unique closure of the operator

$$\mathscr{A}(\phi) = a(\bar{\phi}) - a^\dagger(\phi) \tag{10}$$

then the *Weyl operator*[12] is defined to be

$$e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}(\phi)}. \tag{11}$$

Let us note the operator $\mathscr{A}(\phi)$ (abused of notation) is a skew-Hermitian unbounded operator which means the corresponding Weyl operator is unitary. The *coherent state* associated to ϕ is given by

$$\psi(\phi) := e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}(\phi)}\Omega. \tag{12}$$

Using the Baker-Campbell Hausdorff formula, one could show

$$e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}(\phi)}\Omega = \left(\ldots, c_n \phi^{\otimes n}, \ldots\right) \quad \text{where} \quad c_n = \left(e^{-N\| \phi \|_{\mathfrak{h}}^2} N^n / n!\right). \tag{13}$$

[11]The reader should note for any $f, g \in \mathfrak{h}$ the CCR for $a^\dagger(f)$ and $a(g)$ are not well defined since there are domain issues that need to be resolved for the given unbounded operators. For an exotic example of an ill-defined commutator of unbounded operators, we refer the reader to Chapter VIII.5 of [24].

[12]To avoid the unfavorable technicality associated with the unbounded natural of our creation and annihilation operators one often choose to work with the corresponding Weyl algebra, the C^*-algebra generated by the exponential of $\mathscr{A}(\phi)$ where $\phi \in \mathfrak{h}$ (cf. chapter 9 of [4] and chapter 5.2 of [1]).

For a fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we defined the *Fock Hamiltonian* associated to N, denote by $\mathscr{H}_N$, to be the diagonal operator on the Fock space given by

$$(\mathscr{H}_N\psi)_n = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Delta_{x_j} - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i<j}^{n} v_N(x_i - x_j)\right)\psi_n =: H_{N,n}\psi_n \tag{14}$$

where $v_N(x) = N^{3\beta}v(N^\beta x)$. Rewrite $\mathscr{H}_N$ using creation and annihilation operators we get

$$\mathscr{H}_N := \mathscr{H}_1 - \frac{1}{N}\mathcal{V} \tag{15}$$

$$\mathscr{H}_1 := \int dxdy\ \{\Delta_x \delta(x-y) a_x^\dagger a_y\} \quad \text{and} \tag{16}$$

$$\mathcal{V} := \frac{1}{2}\int dxdy\ \{v_N(x-y) a_x^\dagger a_y^\dagger a_x a_y\}. \tag{17}$$

In light of the Fock Hamiltonian, we are interested in the solution to the following Cauchy problem in Fock space

$$\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi = \mathscr{H}_N\psi \quad \text{with initial datum} \quad \psi_0 = e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}(\phi_0)}\Omega \tag{18}$$

which we shall formally write it as

$$\psi_{\text{exact}} = e^{it\mathscr{H}_N} e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}(\phi_0)}\Omega. \tag{19}$$

An important fact to note about the Fock Hamiltonian is it action on the Nth sector of the Fock space. There the Fock Hamiltonian acts as a mean field Hamiltonian for the N-particle system, that is

$$(\mathscr{H}_N\psi)_N = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta_{x_j} - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i<j}^{N} v_N(x_i - x_j)\right)\psi_N =: H_{\text{mf}}\psi_N. \tag{20}$$

Since the Nth coefficient c_N could be approximated by $N^{-1/4}$ using Stirling's formula and the coherent state is a N-tensor of ϕ in the N sector, then heuristically we could see how by understanding the evolution of the coherent state we would also be able to understand the mean field evolution of the N-particle factorized state.

In the initiation work [25], Rodnianski and Schlein introduced the one-particle Fock marginal: for every $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_s$ the *one-particle Fock marginal of* ψ, denote by $\Gamma_\psi^{(1)}$, is a positive trace class integral operator on $\mathfrak{h}$ with kernel given by

$$\Gamma_\psi^{(1)}(x,y) = \frac{\langle \psi, a_x^\dagger a_y \psi\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}}{\langle \psi, \mathcal{N}\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}}, \tag{21}$$

as a way to study the evolution of the coherent state in the case of the Hartree dynamics, $\beta = 0$. Their work established a mean field approximation to the evolution in trace norm as the number of particles goes to infinity. Furthermore, they were also able to obtain a rate of convergence

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left|\Gamma_{N,t}^{(1)} - |\phi_t\rangle\langle\phi_t|\right| \lesssim \frac{e^{Kt}}{N}$$

where $\Gamma^{(1)}_{N,t}$ denotes the one particle Fock marginal for ψ_{exact} and ϕ_t satisfies the Hartree equation. Later, Kuz in [19] improved the estimate substantially in time

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left|\Gamma^{(1)}_{N,t} - |\phi_t\rangle\langle\phi_t|\right| \lesssim \frac{t}{N}.$$

Unlike the approach of Rodnianski and Schlein which uses a mean field approximation of the form

$$\psi_{\text{mf}} = e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}(\phi_t)}\Omega = e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}(t)}\Omega, \tag{22}$$

the method Kuz used, based on works [13, 14, 15], to establish the estimate relies on tracking the exact dynamics of the evolution of the coherent state in Fock space which the mean field approximation could not.

To track the exact dynamics in Fock space, we need to introduce the *pair excitation function*, $k(x,y) = k(y,x)$, and its corresponding quadratic operator $\mathscr{B}(k)$ with kernel

$$\mathscr{B}(k_t)(x,y) = \mathscr{B}(t)(x,y) = \int \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y\ \{\bar{k}(t,x,y)a_x a_y - k(t,x,y)a^\dagger_x a^\dagger_y\}. \tag{23}$$

From the pair excitation we concoct a new approximation scheme, which is a second order correction[13] to the mean field (22), given by

$$\psi_{\text{approx}} = e^{iN\chi(t)}e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}(t)}e^{-\mathscr{B}(t)}\Omega \tag{24}$$

where $\chi(t)$ is some phase factor to be determined. With some appropriate choice of evolution equations for ϕ and k we will later see that (24) will indeed allow use to track the exact dynamics of the evolution of coherent state in Fock space.

Incidently one could show via a Lie algebra isomorphism argument established in [13, 14, 15] that the evolution of k could be described by some nonlinear evolution equation of

$$\operatorname{sh}(k) := k + \frac{1}{3!}k\circ\bar{k}\circ k + \frac{1}{5!}k\circ\bar{k}\circ k\circ\bar{k}\circ k + \dots \tag{25}$$

$$\operatorname{ch}(k) := \delta + \frac{1}{2!}\bar{k}\circ k + \frac{1}{4!}\bar{k}\circ k\circ\bar{k}\circ k + \dots \tag{26}$$

where $k\circ l$ denotes the composition of operators. Moreover, in [14], Grillakis and Machedon showed by using a specific coordinate the nonlinear equation of the pair excitation could be express as a system of coupled linear equations in $\operatorname{sh}(2k)$ and $\operatorname{ch}(2k)$.

Let us introduce some notation to help us compactly write out the evolutionary equations for ϕ and k

$$\begin{aligned} g_N(t,x,y) :=& -\Delta_x\delta(x-y) + (v_N * |\phi|^2)(t,x)\delta(x-y) \\ &+ v_N(x-y)\bar{\phi}(t,x)\phi(t,y) \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

$$m_N(t,x,y) := -v_N(x-y)\phi(t,x)\phi(t,y) \tag{28}$$

$$\mathbf{S}(s) := \frac{1}{i}\partial_t s + g_N^T\circ s + s\circ g_N \quad \text{(Schrödinger-type operator)} \tag{29}$$

[13] In the mathematical physics literature, $e^{\mathscr{B}}$ is called the infinite dimensional Segal-Shale-Weil Representation of the double cover of the group of symplectic matrices of integral operators. The elements of the corresponding C^*-algebra are called *Bogoliubov transformations* (cf. chapter 4 of [11] and chapter 11 of [4]).

$$\mathbf{W}(p) := \frac{1}{i}\partial_t p + [g_N^T, p] \qquad \text{(Wigner-type operator)} \tag{30}$$

then the desired evolution equations of ϕ and k are given by

$$\frac{1}{i}\partial_t \phi - \Delta_x \phi + (v_N * |\phi|^2)\phi = 0 \qquad \text{(Hartree-type equation)} \tag{31}$$

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathrm{sh}(2k)) = m_N \circ \mathrm{ch}(2k) + \overline{\mathrm{ch}(2k)} \circ m_N \tag{32}$$

$$\mathbf{W}(\mathrm{ch}(2k)) = m_N \circ \overline{\mathrm{sh}(2k)} - \mathrm{sh}(2k) \circ \overline{m}_N \tag{33}$$

which we shall call the *uncoupled system* in contrast to the coupled system introduced in [13, 15] where the equation for ϕ and the pair excitation equations are coupled.

Now let us summarize the results of Grillakis, Machedon and Kuz in [14, 19], which built on earlier works by Grillakis, Machedon and Margetis in [16, 17].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $v \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $v \geq 0$. Assume ϕ and k satisfy (31), (32) and (33) with initial conditions $\phi(0,\cdot) = \phi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap W^{m,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for some sufficiently large m and $k(0,\cdot) = 0$. If ψ_{exact} and ψ_{approx} are defined by (19) and (24) respectively, then we have the following estimate*

$$\| \psi_{exact} - \psi_{approx} \|_{\mathcal{F}} \lesssim \frac{(1+t)\log^4(1+t)}{N^{(1-3\beta)/2}} \tag{34}$$

provided $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{3}$. Moreover, if $(\partial_t \mathrm{sh}(2k))(0,\cdot)$ is sufficiently regular, then for any $\epsilon > 0$ and j a positive integer, we have

$$\| \psi_{exact} - \psi_{ap} \|_{\mathcal{F}} \lesssim t^{\frac{j+3}{2}} \log^6(1+t) \cdot \begin{cases} N^{-1/2+\beta(1+\epsilon)} & \frac{1}{3} \leq \beta < \frac{2j}{(1-2\epsilon+4j)}, \\ N^{\frac{-3+7\beta}{2}+(j-1)(-1+2\beta)} & \frac{2j}{(1-2\epsilon+4j)} \leq \beta < \frac{1+2j}{3+4j}. \end{cases} \tag{35}$$

Remark 2.2. *It should be noted that in Kuz's paper [20] the assumption that $(\partial_t \mathrm{sh}(2k))(0,\cdot)$ is sufficiently regular imposes a restriction on form of the initial condition; in particular, $k(0,\cdot)$ cannot be zero. Due to restriction, we could not choose the coherent state as our initial condition since $e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}_0} e^{-\mathscr{B}_0}\Omega$ is a coherent state if and only if $k(0,\cdot) = 0$.*

2.2 Main Statements

One of the main purposes of this article is to extend the results in [14, 19, 20] to the case of arbitrary $v \in C_0^\infty$ with sufficiently small L^1-norm. The emphasis here is the fact we are not assuming the positivity of v. Let us state the first main statement.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\| v \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ is sufficiently small. Assume ϕ and k satisfy (31), (32) and (33) with initial conditions $\phi(0,\cdot) = \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap W^{m,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for some sufficiently large m and $k(0,\cdot) = 0$. If ψ_{exact} and ψ_{approx} are defined by (19) and (24) respectively, then we have the following estimate*

$$\| \psi_{exact} - \psi_{approx} \|_{\mathcal{F}} \lesssim \frac{t}{N^{(1-3\beta)/2}} \tag{36}$$

provided $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{3}$. Moreover, if $(\partial_t \mathrm{sh}(2k))(0,\cdot)$ is sufficiently regular, then for any $\epsilon > 0$ and j a positive integer, we have

$$\| \psi_{exact} - \psi_{ap} \|_{\mathcal{F}} \lesssim t^{\frac{j+3}{2}} \log^6(1+t) \cdot \begin{cases} N^{-1/2+\beta(1+\epsilon)} & 0 < \beta < \frac{2j}{(1-2\epsilon+4j)}, \\ N^{\frac{-3+7\beta}{2}+(j-1)(-1+2\beta)} & \frac{2j}{(1-2\epsilon+4j)} \leq \beta < \frac{1+2j}{3+4j}. \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

Remark 2.4. *The second estimate in Theorem 2.3 could be improved. In particular, we could get rid of the logarithmic terms. However, to keep the organization of the article simple, we decided to keep the logarithmic terms. Nevertheless, we have included a proof of how to remove the logarithmic terms in Section 4.*

The second purpose of the article is to derive the focusing cubic NLS in $\mathbb{R}^3$ from a many-body Boson system as in X. Chen & Holmers' work in [2, 3]. For this purpose, we shall assume $v \leq 0$, i.e. the inter-particle interaction is attractive. In this case, we have the following statement.

Theorem 2.5. *(Factorized Initial Condition) Assume $v(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $v \leq 0$ where $\| v \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ is sufficiently small. Suppose $\Psi_N(t,x)$ solves the initial value problem*

$$\frac{1}{i}\partial_t \Psi_N(t,x) = H_{mf}\Psi_N(t,x), \quad \Psi_N(0,\cdot) = \phi^{\otimes N} \tag{38}$$

where ϕ satisfies the same conditions as in Theorem 2.3 and $\| \phi \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = 1$. Denote the one-particle density associated to $\Psi_N(t,x)$ by $\gamma_{N,t}^{(1)}$. Then if β is in the range $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{6}$, we have the following estimate

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left| \gamma_N^{(1)}(t,\cdot) - |\phi_t\rangle\langle\phi_t| \right| \lesssim N^\delta$$

for some $\delta < 0$.

3 Estimates for the Solution to the Hartree Equation

Let us consider the following family of Hartree type PDE

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{i}\partial_t \phi(t,x) - \Delta_x \phi(t,x) + (v_N * |\phi|^2)\phi = 0 \\ &\phi(0,\cdot) = \phi_0 \quad \phi_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

where

$$v_N(x) = N^{3\beta} v(N^\beta x) \tag{40}$$

for $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a non-positive function.

3.1 Global Theory

In this section we shall prove some global a-priori space-time estimates for the Hartree equations assuming small interaction potential. We shall us the Strichartz norm for our global theory, that is, we shall consider

$$\| \phi \|_{S^0} := \sup_{\substack{(q,r) \\ \text{admissible}}} \| \phi \|_{L_t^q L_x^r(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let ϕ be a solution to (39), then we have the estimate*

$$\| \nabla^{1/2}\phi \|_{S^0} \lesssim \| \phi_0 \|_{\dot{H}_x^{1/2}} + \| v \|_{L_x^1} \| \nabla^{1/2}\phi \|_{S^0}^3 \tag{41}$$

which is independent of N. Moreover, if v has a sufficiently small L^1-norm then we obtain the estimate

$$\| \nabla^{1/2}\phi \|_{S^0} \lesssim 1 \tag{42}$$

which depends only on the initial datum $\| \phi_0 \|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}_x}$ and independent of N. Similar estimates holds for time and higer space derivatives, that is

$$\| \partial_t^m \nabla^s \phi \|_{S^0} \lesssim 1$$

where the estimate only depends on $m, s, \| v \|_{L^1_x}$ and the initial datum.

Proof. Similar to the local estimate, we begin by differentiating (39)

$$\frac{1}{i}\partial_t \nabla^{1/2}\phi - \Delta_x \nabla^{1/2}\phi + \nabla^{1/2}((v_N * |\phi|^2)\cdot\phi) = 0$$

where

$$\nabla^{1/2}((v_N * |\phi|^2)\cdot\phi) = (v_N * |\phi|^2)\cdot\nabla^{1/2}\phi + (v_N * \nabla^{1/2}|\phi|^2)\cdot\phi + \text{ "lower order" terms.}$$

Applying the $L^2L^{6/5}$-Strichartz estimate and the fractional Leibniz rule, we obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\| \nabla^{1/2}\phi \|_{S^0} \lesssim \| \phi_0 \|_{H^{1/2}_x} + \| v_N * |\phi|^2 \|_{L^2_t L^3_x} \| \nabla^{1/2}\phi \|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \\ + \| v_N * \nabla^{1/2}|\phi|^2 \|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \| \phi \|_{L^\infty_t L^3_x}.\end{aligned}$$

For the first forcing term we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\| v_N * |\phi|^2 \|_{L^2_t L^3_x} \| \nabla^{1/2}\phi \|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \| v \|_{L^1_x} \| \phi \|^2_{L^4_t L^6_x} \| \nabla^{1/2}\phi \|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \\ &\lesssim \| v \|_{L^1_x} \| \nabla^{1/2}\phi \|^2_{L^4_t L^3_x} \| \nabla^{1/2}\phi \|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \\ &\lesssim \| v \|_{L^1_x} \| \nabla^{1/2}\phi \|^3_{S^0}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the other forcing term we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\| v_N * \nabla^{1/2}|\phi|^2 \|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \| \phi \|_{L^\infty_t L^3_x} &\lesssim \| v \|_{L^1_x} \| \nabla^{1/2}\phi \|_{L^2_t L^6_x} \| \phi \|^2_{L^\infty_t L^3_x} \\ &\lesssim \| v \|_{L^1_x} \| \nabla^{1/2}\phi \|_{L^2_t L^6_x} \| \nabla^{1/2}\phi \|^2_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \\ &\lesssim \| v \|_{L^1_x} \| \nabla^{1/2}\phi \|^3_{S^0}.\end{aligned}$$

The last estimate follows from the observation

$$\| \partial_t^m \nabla^s \phi \|_{S^0} \lesssim \| \partial_t^m \nabla^s \phi \Big|_{t=0} \|_{L^2_x} + \| v \|_{L^1_x} \| \partial_t^m \nabla^s \phi \|_{S^0} \| \nabla^{1/2}\phi \|^2_{S^0}.$$

□

Remark 3.2. *It should be noted that one could show ϕ is bounded in the Strichartz norm by the above argument.*

3.2 Decay Estimates for Hartree Equation

In this section we shall derive some decay estimates for ϕ following the approach in [14]. Before we begin let us make a note on the notation used in this section. The notation $\alpha\pm$ means $\alpha \pm \varepsilon$ for some fixed $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let ϕ be a solution to (39) where $\| v \|_{L^1_x}$ is sufficiently small. Then we have the decay estimate*

$$\| \phi(t,\cdot) \|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \frac{1}{1+t^{3/2}}$$

which only depends on $\| \phi_0 \|_{W^{k,1}}$ for sufficiently large k.

Let us first prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.4. *Assuming the same conditions as in proposition 3.3. Then $\| \phi(t,\cdot) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.*

Proof of lemma 3.4. By proposition 3.1 and Sobolev embedding, we have the estimates

$$\| \phi \|_{L^{10/3}_{t,x}} \le C \quad \text{and} \quad \| \phi \|_{C^s(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C_s.$$

In particular, it follows by space interpolation

$$\| \phi \|_{L^p([n,n+1],L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))} \le \| \phi \|^{1-\theta}_{L^{10/3}([n,n+1],L^{10/3}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \| \phi \|^{\theta}_{L^\infty([n,n+1],L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$ for all $10/3 < p < \infty$. Letting $p \to \infty$ yields the desired result. □

Lemma 3.5. *Assuming the same conditions as in proposition 3.3. There exists $k \in L^1([0,\infty))$ and $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\| e^{i(t-s)\Delta}((v_N * |\phi|^2)\cdot\phi(s)) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le k(t-s) \| \phi(s,\cdot) \|^{1+\delta}_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{43}$$

Proof of lemma 3.5. Using the $L^\infty L^1$ decay and conservation of probability, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| e^{i(t-s)\Delta}((v_N * |\phi|^2)\cdot\phi(s)) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\lesssim \frac{1}{|t-s|^{3/2}} \| (v_N * |\phi|^2)\cdot\phi(s) \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{|t-s|^{3/2}} \| \phi(s,\cdot) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, applying Sobolev embedding and $L^{3+}L^{3/2-}$ decay yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\| e^{i(t-s)\Delta}((v_N * |\phi|^2)\cdot\phi(s) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \| \nabla e^{i(t-s)\Delta}((v_N * |\phi|^2)\cdot\phi(s)) \|_{L^{3+}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{|t-s|^{1/2+}} \| \nabla((v_N * |\phi|^2)\cdot\phi(s)) \|_{L^{3/2-}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{1}{|t-s|^{1/2+}} \| \nabla\phi \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \| \phi \|^2_{L^{12-}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{|t-s|^{1/2+}} \| \phi \|^{2(1-\theta)}_{L^{10/3}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \| \phi \|^{2\theta}_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{1}{|t-s|^{1/2+}} \| \phi \|^{13/9-}_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta = 13/18-$. In the case $|t-s| < 1$, we could simply take $k(t-s) = |t-s|^{1/2+}$. In the case $|t-s| \ge 1$ we shall interpolate the above estimates. □

Proof of proposition 3.3. Let ϕ_0 be a test function and write (for $t > 0$)

$$\phi(t) = e^{it\Delta}\phi_0 - i\left[\int_0^{t/2} + \int_{t/2}^{t}\right] e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(v_N * |\phi(\tau)|^2)\phi(\tau)\ d\tau.$$

Taking the L^∞ norm yields

$$\| \phi(t) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{3/2}} \| \phi_0 \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \left[\int_0^{t/2} + \int_{t/2}^{t}\right] \| e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(v_N * |\phi(\tau)|^2)\phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}\ d\tau$$

where the first term is a consequence of the $L^\infty L^1$ decay estimate for the free evolution. For the second term we again apply the $L^\infty L^1$ decay estimate to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{t/2} \| e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(v_N * |\phi(\tau)|^2)\phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}\ d\tau \\
&\quad \le \int_0^{t/2} \frac{\| (v_N * |\phi(\tau)|^2)\phi(\tau) \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}}{|t-\tau|^{3/2}}\ d\tau \le \int_0^{t/2} \frac{\| \phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}}{|t-\tau|^{3/2}}\ d\tau \\
&\quad \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{3/2}} \int_0^{t/2} \| \phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}\ d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, by lemma 3.5 there exists $k \in L^1([0,\infty])$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{t/2}^{t} \| e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(v_N * |\phi(\tau)|^2)\phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}\ d\tau \lesssim \int_{t/2}^{t} k(t-\tau) \| \phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1+\delta}\ d\tau.$$

Combining all the estimates, we have

$$\| \phi(t) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{3/2}} \| \phi_0 \| + \frac{1}{t^{3/2}} \int_0^{t/2} \| \phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}\ d\tau + \int_{t/2}^{t} k(t-\tau) \| \phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1+\delta}\ d\tau$$

which holds for all $t > 0$.

Since we care about large time behavior we may assume $t \ge 1$. In particular, we get the equivalent estimate

$$\| \phi(t) \|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \frac{\| \phi_0 \|}{1+t^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{1+t^{3/2}} \int_0^{t/2} \| \phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty}\ d\tau + \int_{t/2}^{t} k(t-\tau) \| \phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty}^{1+\delta}\ d\tau. \tag{44}$$

Multiply estimate (44) by $1 + t^{3/2}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(1+t^{3/2}) \| \phi(t) \|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \| \phi_0 \| + \int_0^{t/2} \| \phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty}\ d\tau + (1+t^{3/2}) \int_{t/2}^{t} k(t-\tau) \| \phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty}^{1+\delta}\ d\tau \\
&\lesssim \| \phi_0 \| + \int_0^{t/2} \| \phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty}\ d\tau + \sup_{t/2 \le s \le t} (1+s^{3/2}) \| \phi(s) \|_{L^\infty}^{1+\delta}
\end{aligned}$$

since $k \in L^1([0,\infty))$. Next, by lemma 3.4, there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$(1+t^{3/2}) \| \phi(t) \|_{L^\infty} \le c \| \phi_0 \| + c \int_0^{t/2} \| \phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty}\ d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{t/2 \le s \le t} (1+s^{3/2}) \| \phi(s) \|_{L^\infty}$$

whenever $t \geq 2T$ for some constant $c > 0$.

Let $M(t) := \sup_{T \leq s \leq t}(1 + s^{3/2})\| \phi(s) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ and $C := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 2T}(1 + s^{3/2})\| \phi(s) \|_{L^\infty}$ then for all $t \geq T$ we have either

$$(1 + t^{3/2})\| \phi(t) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq c\| \phi_0 \| + c \int_0^{t/2} \frac{M(\tau)}{1 + \tau^{3/2}} \, d\tau + \frac{1}{2} M(t)$$

or $M(t) \leq C$. Note, for all $T < s < t$ we also have the following estimate

$$(1 + s^{3/2})\| \phi(s) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \max(c\| \phi_0 \| + c \int_0^{t/2} \frac{M(\tau)}{1 + \tau^{3/2}} \, d\tau + \frac{1}{2} M(t), C).$$

Hence it following

$$M(t) \leq \max(c\| \phi_0 \| + c \int_0^{t/2} \frac{M(\tau)}{1 + \tau^{3/2}} \, d\tau + \frac{1}{2} M(t), C)$$

for all $t \geq T$. Then by Gronwall's inequality, it follows

$$M(t) \lesssim \max\left(\| \phi_0 \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \exp\left(\int_0^t \frac{d\tau}{1 + \tau^{3/2}} \right), C \right) \lesssim 1.$$

Thus, we have proven

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (1 + s^{3/2})\| \phi(s) \|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \max(M(t), C) \lesssim 1$$

□

Corollary 3.6. *Assume the same conditions as proposition 3.3 then there exists a constant C depending only on $\| \phi_0 \|_{W^{k,1}}$ and $\| \partial_t \phi_0 \|_{W^{k,1}}$ such that*

$$\| \partial_t \phi(t, \cdot) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{1}{1 + t^{3/2}}. \tag{45}$$

Proof. Begin by taking the time derivative of (39)

$$\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \partial_t \phi - \Delta_x \partial_t \phi + \partial_t (v_N * |\phi|^2)\phi = 0.$$

Then applying the $L^\infty L^1$ decay estimate yields ($t \geq 1$)

$$\| \partial_t \phi(t, \cdot) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{3/2}} \| \partial_t \phi(t, \cdot) \big|_{t=0} \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \int_0^{t/2} \| e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} \partial_\tau (v_N * |\phi|^2)\phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \, ds$$
$$\int_{t/2}^t \| e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} \partial_\tau (v_N * |\phi|^2)\phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \, ds.$$

For the first integral, we shall apply the $L^\infty L^1$ decay estimate and proposition 3.3 to get

$$\int_0^{t/2} \| e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} \partial_\tau (v_N * |\phi|^2)\phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \, ds \lesssim \int_0^{t/2} \frac{1}{|t - \tau|^{3/2}} \| \partial_\tau (v_N * |\phi|^2)\phi(\tau) \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \, ds$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{1+t^{3/2}} \int_0^{t/2} \| \phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \| \phi(\tau) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \| \partial_\tau \phi(\tau) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \, d\tau$$
$$\lesssim \frac{1}{1+t^{3/2}} \int_0^{t/2} \frac{d\tau}{1+\tau^{3/2}} \lesssim \frac{1}{1+t^{3/2}}.$$

Note we have used the fact $\| \partial_t^m \nabla^s \phi \|_{S^0} \lesssim_{m,s} 1$.

For the second integral, we use Sobolev embedding and $L^{3+}L^{3/2-}$ decay estimate to obtain the bound

$$\int_{t/2}^t \| e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} \partial_\tau (v_N * |\phi|^2)\phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \, ds \lesssim \int_{t/2}^t \frac{1}{|t-\tau|^{1/2+}} \| \nabla_x \partial_\tau (v_N * |\phi|^2)\phi(\tau) \|_{L^{3/2-}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \, d\tau$$
$$\lesssim \int_{t/2}^t \frac{1}{|t-\tau|^{1/2+}} \| \nabla \partial_t \phi \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \| \phi \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{5/3-} \, d\tau$$
$$+ \int_{t/2}^t \frac{1}{|t-\tau|^{1/2+}} \| \partial_t \phi \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/3+} \| \partial_t \phi \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{2/3-} \| \nabla \phi \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \| \phi \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \, d\tau.$$

Note the last inequality is a consequence of Holder inequalities and space interpolation. Since $\partial_t \phi$ is bounded, then by proposition 3.3 it follows

$$\int_{t/2}^t \| e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta} \partial_\tau (v_N * |\phi|^2)\phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \, d\tau \lesssim \int_{t/2}^t \frac{1}{|t-\tau|^{1/2+}} \| \phi(\tau) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \, d\tau$$
$$\lesssim \frac{1}{1+t^{3/2}} \int_{t/2}^t \frac{1}{|t-\tau|^{1/2+}} \, d\tau \lesssim \frac{1}{1+t^{3/2}}.$$

□

4 Estimates for the Pair Excitations

There are two goals in this section. The first goal is to extend the estimates for $\mathrm{sh}(2k)$ to the case of non-positive interacting potential, which we will see only depends on the decay estimate of ϕ. The other goal of this section is to provide a way for improving the estimate in Theorem 2.3 which we have mentioned in Remark: 2.4. However, for the sake of simplicity, we shall not propagate the improvement to the rest of the note and happily leave it as an exercise(s) for the interested reader.

Let us define the shorthand notation $\mathrm{ch}(2k) := \delta + p_2, \mathrm{sh}(2k) := s_2$, and also $\mathrm{ch}(k) := \delta + p_1, \mathrm{sh}(k) := s_1$.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume $\phi_0 \in W^{k,1}$ for k sufficiently large. The following estimates hold:*

$$\| s_2(t,\cdot) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^6)} + \| p_2(t,\cdot) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim 1$$

where the estimate only depends on $\| \phi_0 \|_{W^{k,1}}$ for some k.

To prove the above proposition, we shall begin by proving a few preliminary lemmas

Lemma 4.2. *Let $m(t,x,y) = -v_N(x-y)\phi(t,x)\phi(t,y)$. Then we have the following estimates*

$$\int \frac{|\widehat{m_N}(t,\xi,\eta)|^2}{(|\xi|^2+|\eta|^2)^2} \, d\xi d\eta \lesssim \| \phi(t,\cdot) \|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^4 \tag{46}$$

and

$$\int_{|\xi-\eta|>1} \frac{|\partial_t \widehat{m_N}(t,\xi,\eta)|^2}{(|\xi|^2+|\eta|^2)^2}\, d\xi d\eta \lesssim \| \partial_t \phi(t,\cdot) \|^2_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)} \| \phi(t,\cdot) \|^2_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{47}$$

Proof. The proof of the first estimate can be found in [14]. We shall focus on the proof of the second estimate where the proof is a slight modification of the first. First, observe

$$v_N(x-y)\phi(x)\phi(y) = \int \delta(x-y-z) v_N(z)\phi(x)\phi(y)\, dz$$

then the Fourier transform of $\delta(x-y-z)\phi(x)\phi(y)$ is given by

$$\int e^{-i(x\cdot\eta+y\cdot\xi)}\delta(x-y-z)\phi(x)\phi(y)\, dxdy = \int e^{-i((x\cdot\eta+(y-z)\cdot\xi)}\delta(x-y)\phi(x)\phi(y-z)\, dxdy$$
$$e^{iz\cdot\xi}\int e^{-ix\cdot(\eta+\xi)}\phi(x)\phi(x-z)\, dx = e^{iz\cdot\xi}\widehat{\phi\phi_z}(t,\eta+\xi)$$

which means

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t \widehat{m_N}(t,\eta,\xi)|^2 &= \left| \int e^{iz\cdot\xi} v_N(z) \widehat{\partial_t(\phi\phi_z)}(t,\xi+\eta)\, dz \right|^2 \\ &\lesssim \| v \|_{L^1_x} \int |v_N(z)| |\widehat{\partial_t(\phi\phi_z)}(t,\eta+\xi)|^2\, dz. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\xi-\eta|>1} \frac{|\partial_t \widehat{m_N}(t,\eta,\xi)|^2}{(|\eta|^2+|\xi|^2)^2}\, d\eta d\xi &\lesssim \| v \| \int |v_N(z)| \int_{|\xi-\eta|>1} \frac{|\widehat{\partial_t(\phi\phi_z)}(t,\eta+\xi)|^2}{(|\eta|^2+|\xi|^2)^2}\, d\eta d\xi dz \\ &\lesssim \| v \| \int |v_N(z)| \int_{|\eta'|>1} \frac{|\widehat{\partial_t(\phi\phi_z)}(t,\xi')|^2}{(|\eta'|^2+|\xi'|^2)^2}\, d\eta d\xi dz \\ &\lesssim \| v \| \int |v_N(z)| |\widehat{\partial_t(\phi\phi_z)}(t,\xi')|^2\, d\xi' dz \\ &\lesssim \| \partial_t \phi \|^2_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)} \| \phi \|^2_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.3. *Let s_a^0 be the solution to*

$$\left(\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^6}\right) s_a^0(t,x,y) = 2m(t,x,y) \quad s_a^0(0,x,y) = 0. \tag{48}$$

Then it follows

$$\| s_a^0(t,\cdot) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^6)} \lesssim 1$$

where the estimate only depends on $\| \phi_0 \|_{W^{k,1}}$

Proof. Using Duhamel's principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\| s_a^0(t,\cdot) \|_{L^2} &= 2 \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} m(s,x,y)\ ds \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \left\| P_{|\xi-\eta|\le 1} \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} m(s,x,y)\ ds \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| P_{|\xi-\eta|>1} \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} m(s,x,y)\ ds \right\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

For the first term we shall directly apply Minkowski's inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\| P_{|\xi-\eta|\le 1} \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} m(s,x,y)\ ds \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \int_0^t \left[\int_{|\xi-\eta|\le 1} |\hat{m}(s,\xi,\eta)|^2\ d\xi d\eta \right]^{1/2} ds \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \left[\int |v_N(z)| \int_{|\xi-\eta|\le 1} |\widehat{\phi\phi_z}(s,\xi+\eta)|^2\ d\xi d\eta dz \right]^{1/2} ds \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \left[\int |v_N(z)| \int_{|\eta'|\le 1} |\widehat{\phi\phi_z}(s,\xi')|^2\ d\xi' d\eta' dz \right]^{1/2} ds \lesssim \int_0^t \| \phi(s) \|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2\ ds.\end{aligned}$$

Using the decay estimate, we have that the first term is bounded. For the second term we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\| P_{|\xi-\eta|>1} \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} m(s,x,y)\ ds \right\|_{L^2} = \left\| \chi_{|\xi-\eta|>1} \int_0^t \partial_s e^{i(t-s)(|\eta|^2+|\xi|^2)} \frac{\hat{m}(s,\eta,\xi)}{|\eta|^2+|\xi|^2}\ ds \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \frac{\hat{m}(0,\eta,\xi)}{|\eta|^2+|\xi|^2} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \frac{\hat{m}(t,\eta,\xi)}{|\eta|^2+|\xi|^2} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \chi_{|\xi-\eta|>1} \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)(|\eta|^2+|\xi|^2)} \frac{\partial_s \hat{m}(s,\eta,\xi)}{|\eta|^2+|\xi|^2}\ ds \right\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

It's clear the first two terms are bounded by the previous lemma. For the last term, using Minkowski's and the previous lemma we have

$$\left\| \chi_{|\xi-\eta|>1} \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)(|\eta|^2+|\xi|^2)} \frac{\partial_s \hat{m}(s,\eta,\xi)}{|\eta|^2+|\xi|^2}\ ds \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \int_0^t \| \partial_t \phi(s,\cdot) \|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)} \| \phi(s,\cdot) \|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)}\ ds.$$

Again, by the decay estimate we have that the second term is also bounded. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let s_a be a solution to*

$$\mathbf{S}(s_a) = 2m(t,x,y), \quad \text{and} \quad s_a(t,\cdot) = 0.$$

Then

$$\| s_a(t,\cdot) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^6)} \lesssim 1$$

where the estimate depends only on the $\| \phi_0 \|_{W^{k,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$.

Sketch of Proof. The idea is essential to decompose the solution into a two parts

$$s_a = s_a^0 + s_a^1$$

where s_a^0 satisfies the equation in the previous lemma and s_a^1 solves

$$\mathbf{S}(s_a^1) = -V(s_a^0(t,\cdot)).$$

By the previous lemma, we know the L^2-norm of s_a^0 is uniformly bounded in time. Next, we shall cite [14], lemma 4.5, for the proof that the L^2-norm of s_a^1 is also uniformly bounded in time. □

Sketch of Proof of Proposition 4.1. The proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [14]. Again, the only difference comes from the replacement of the estimate to the solution of $\mathbf{S}(s_a) = 2m$ by the result of the previous lemma. □

5 Proof of Theorem 2.3

The proof of Theorem 2.3 is essentially the same as given in [14, 20] provided we have established the decay estimate for ϕ. For the sake of simplicity, we shall only provide a complete proof of the first part of Theorem 2.3 since the second part of the theorem is significantly lengthier to present. We shall refer the interested reader to [20] for a complete proof of the second part of Theorem 2.3.

5.1 List of Error Terms

For convenience, we shall include the list of error terms which were explicitly computed in Section 5 of [14].

Recall the error terms are defined to be

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = e^{\mathscr{B}}([\mathscr{A}, \mathcal{V}] + N^{-1/2}\mathcal{V})e^{-\mathscr{B}} \tag{49}$$

where $[\mathscr{A}, \mathcal{V}]$ and $N^{-1/2}\mathcal{V}$ are cubic and quartic polynomials in $(a_x, a_x^\dagger)$ respectively. Using the conjugation formulae

$$e^{\mathscr{B}} a_x e^{-\mathscr{B}} = \int dy\ \{\mathrm{ch}(k)(y,x)a_y + \mathrm{sh}(k)(y,x)a_y^\dagger\} \tag{50}$$

$$e^{\mathscr{B}} a_x^\dagger e^{-\mathscr{B}} = \int dy\ \{\overline{\mathrm{sh}(k)}(y,x)a_y + \overline{\mathrm{ch}(k)}(y,x)a_y^\dagger\} \tag{51}$$

we could further expand the error terms into another fourth-order polynomial in $(a_x^\dagger, a_x)$.

The following is the result of expanding $\mathcal{E}(t)$. First, let us list all the error terms of $N^{-1/2}e^{\mathscr{B}}\mathcal{V}e^{-\mathscr{B}}$ which is a fourth-order polynomial in $(a_x^\dagger, a_x)$ with no linear nor cubic terms. The quartic term is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2N}\int dy_1dy_2dy_3dy_4\ \Big\{ \\
&\quad v_N(y_1-y_2)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y_3,y_1)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y_2,y_4)\ + &(52)\\
&\quad \int dx\ \{\bar{p}(k)(y_2,x)v_N(y_1-x)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(x,y_4)\}\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y_3,y_1)\ + &(53)\\
&\quad \int dx\ \{\bar{p}(k)(y_1,x)v_N(x-y_2)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y_3,x)\}\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y_2,y_4)\ + &(54)\\
&\quad \int dx_1dx_2\{\bar{p}(k)(y_1,x_1)p(x_2,y_2)v_N(x_1-x_2)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y_3,x_1)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(x_2,x_4)\} &(55)\\
&\Big\}\ a_{y_1}^\dagger a_{y_2}^\dagger a_{y_3}^\dagger a_{y_4}^\dagger.
\end{aligned}$$

The quadratic term is given by

$$\frac{1}{2N}\int dy_1dy_2dx_1dx_2\ \Big\{$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{ch}(k)}(y_1,x_2)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(x_2,y_2)(\overline{\mathrm{sh}(k)}\circ\mathrm{sh}(k))(x_1,x_1)v_N(x_1-x_2)\;+ \tag{56}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{ch}(k)}(y_1,x_2)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(x_1,y_2)(\overline{\mathrm{sh}(k)}\circ\mathrm{sh}(k))(x_1,x_2)v_N(x_1-x_2)\;+ \tag{57}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{ch}(k)}(y_1,x_1)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(x_2,y_2)(\mathrm{sh}(k)\circ\overline{\mathrm{sh}(k)})(x_1,x_2)v_N(x_1-x_2)\;+ \tag{58}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{ch}(k)}(y_1,x_1)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(x_1,y_2)(\mathrm{sh}(k)\circ\overline{\mathrm{sh}(k)})(x_2,x_2)v_N(x_1-x_2)\;+ \tag{59}$$

$$\mathrm{sh}(k)(y_1,x_1)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(x_2,y_2)(\overline{\mathrm{sh}(k)}\circ\overline{\mathrm{sh}(k)})(x_1,x_2)v_N(x_1-x_2)\;+ \tag{60}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{ch}(k)}(y_1,x_1)\,\mathrm{ch}(k)(x_2,y_2)(\overline{\mathrm{ch}(k)}\circ\mathrm{sh}(k))(x_1,x_2)v_N(x_1-x_2)\Big\}\;a^\dagger_{y_1}a^\dagger_{y_2} \tag{61}$$

The zeroth-order term is given by

$$\frac{1}{2N}\int dx_1dx_2\;\Big\{(\mathrm{sh}(k)\circ\overline{\mathrm{sh}(k)})(x_1,x_2)v_N(x_1-x_2)(\overline{\mathrm{sh}(k)}\circ\mathrm{sh}(k))(x_1,x_2)\;+ \tag{62}$$

$$(\mathrm{sh}(k)\circ\overline{\mathrm{sh}(k)})(x_1,x_1)v_N(x_1-x_2)(\overline{\mathrm{sh}(k)}\circ\mathrm{sh}(k))(x_2,x_2)\;+ \tag{63}$$

$$(\overline{\mathrm{sh}(k)}\circ\overline{\mathrm{ch}}(k))(x_1,x_2)v_N(x_1-x_2)(\overline{\mathrm{ch}(k)}\circ\mathrm{sh}(k))(x_1,x_2)\Big\}. \tag{64}$$

In the case of $e^{\mathscr{B}}[\mathscr{A},\mathcal{V}]e^{-\mathscr{B}}$ we have a cubic polynomial in $(a^\dagger_x,a_x)$ with no quadratic nor zeroth-order terms. The cubic term is given by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\int dy_1dy_2dy_3\;\Big\{v_N(y_1-y_2)\phi(y_2)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y_3,y_1)\;+ \tag{65}$$

$$\int dx\;\{v_N(y_1-x)\bar\phi(x)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(x,y_3)\}\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y_2,y_1)\;+ \tag{66}$$

$$\int dx\;\{\bar p(y_1,x)v_N(x-y_2)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y_3,x)\}\phi(y_2)\;+ \tag{67}$$

$$\int dx\;\{\bar p(y_2,x)v_N(y_1-x)\phi(x)\}\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y_3,y_1)\;+ \tag{68}$$

$$\int dx_1dx_2\;\{\bar p(y_1,x_1)v_N(x_1-x_2)\bar\phi(x_2)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y_2,x_1)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(x_2,y_3)\}\;+ \tag{69}$$

$$\int dx_1dx_2\;\{\bar p(y_1,x_1)p(x_2,y_2)v_N(x_1-x_2)\phi(x_2)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y_3,x_1)\}\Big\}\;a^\dagger_{y_1}a^\dagger_{y_2}a^\dagger_{y_3}. \tag{70}$$

Lastly the linear term is given by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\int dydx_1dx_2\Big\{\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y,x_2)(\overline{\mathrm{sh}(k)}\circ\mathrm{sh}(k))(x_1,x_1)\bar\phi(x_2)v_N(x_1-x_2)\;+ \tag{71}$$

$$\mathrm{sh}(k)(y,x_1)(\overline{\mathrm{sh}(k)}\circ\mathrm{sh}(k))(x_1,x_2)\bar\phi(x_2)v_N(x_1-x_2)\;+ \tag{72}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{ch}(k)}(y,x_1)(\overline{\mathrm{ch}(k)}\circ\mathrm{sh}(k))(x_1,x_2)\bar\phi(x_2)v_N(x_1-x_2)\;+ \tag{73}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{ch}(k)}(y,x_1)(\mathrm{sh}(k)\circ\overline{\mathrm{sh}(k)})(x_1,x_2)\phi(x_2)v_N(x_1-x_2)\;+ \tag{74}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{ch}(k)}(y,x_2)(\mathrm{sh}(k)\circ\overline{\mathrm{sh}(k)})(x_1,x_1)\phi(x_2)v_N(x_1-x_2)\;+ \tag{75}$$

$$\mathrm{sh}(k)(y,x_1)(\overline{\mathrm{sh}(k)}\circ\overline{\mathrm{ch}(k)})(x_1,x_2)\phi(x_2)v_N(x_1-x_2)\;\Big\}\;a^\dagger_y. \tag{76}$$

5.2 Estimates for the Error Terms

To prove theorem 2.3 it suffices to establish the following estimates on $\mathcal{E}(t)$.

Proposition 5.1. *For the two error terms we have the following estimates*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\| e^{\mathscr{B}}[\mathscr{A},\mathcal{V}]e^{-\mathscr{B}}\Omega\|_{\mathcal{F}} \lesssim \frac{N^{\frac{3\beta-1}{2}}}{1+t^{3/2}} \tag{77}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{N}\| e^{\mathscr{B}}\mathcal{V}e^{-\mathscr{B}}\Omega\|_{\mathcal{F}} \lesssim N^{3\beta-1}. \tag{78}$$

Proof. Since many of the terms are similar, without loss of generality, we shall pick representatives in each category and prove the bound holds for the representatives.

First, let us look at the quartic term. The two representatives are (52) and (55) since (53) and (54) could be handle similarly by the techniques in bounding (55). In the case (52), we see

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{N}\| v_N(y_1-y_2)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y_3,y_1)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y_2,y_4)\|_{L^2(dy_1dy_2dy_3dy_4)}\\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{N}\| v_N\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}\|\,\mathrm{sh}(k)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^6)} \lesssim N^{3\beta-1}.\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact proposition 4.1. For (55), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{N}\left\| \int dx_1dx_2\{\bar{p}(y_1,x_1)p(x_2,y_2)v_N(x_1-x_2)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y_3,x_1)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(x_2,x_4)\right\|_{L^2(dy_1dy_2dy_3dy_4)}\\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{N}\| v_N\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}\| p(k)\|^2_{L(\mathbb{R}^6)}\|\,\mathrm{sh}(k)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^6)} \lesssim N^{3\beta-1}.\end{aligned}$$

For the quadratic term, the worse term is given by (61) due to the δ function contribution. Looking term with the most δ function contribution, we have

$$\frac{1}{N}\|\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y_1,y_2)v_N(y_1-y_2)\|_{L^2(dy_1dy_2)} \lesssim \frac{1}{N}\| v_N\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}\|\,\mathrm{sh}(k)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^6)} \lesssim N^{3\beta-1}.$$

For the cubic term, we shall consider (65) and (70). In the case of (65), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\| v_N(y_1-y_2)\phi(y_2)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y_3,y_1)\|_{L^2(dy_1dy_2dy_3)}\\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}\| v_N\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\|\,\mathrm{sh}(k)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^6)} \lesssim \frac{N^{(3\beta-1)/2}}{1+t^{3/2}}.\end{aligned}$$

And for (70), it follows

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\left\| \int dx_1dx_2\ \{\bar{p}(y_1,x_1)p(x_2,y_2)v_N(x_1-x_2)\phi(x_2)\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y_3,x_1)\}\right\|_{L^2(dy_1dy_2dy_3)}\\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}\| p(k)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^6)}\|\,\mathrm{sh}(k)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^6)}\| v_N\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{N^{(3\beta-1)/2}}{1+t^{3/2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, for the linear term, we shall consider (73). Again, consider the term with the δ contribution, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\left\| \int dx_2\,\mathrm{sh}(k)(y,x_2)\bar{\phi}(x_2)v_N(y-x_2)\right\|_{L^2(dy)}\\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}\| v_N\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\|\,\mathrm{sh}(k)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^6)} \lesssim \frac{N^{(3\beta-1)/2}}{1+t^{3/2}}.\end{aligned}$$

□

6 Application: Derivation of Focusing NLS in $\mathbb{R}^3$

We shall ofter two derivation of the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation. For the first derivation we will use the method of pair excitation developed in the previous sections and the second derivation will be via a method introduced by Pickl in [22, 23].

6.1 Pair Excitation Method

In this section, we provide the Fock space method[14] for analyzing the rate of convergence of the one-particle marginal toward mean field. However, in the next subsection, we shall provide Pickl's method which offers an error bound which will be independent of time. Nevertheless, the purpose of this section is to show that one could still derive the focusing NLS from the pair excitation method developed thus far in the article.

Let us recalled a couple results proven in [19]:

Lemma 6.1. *Let $k(x,y) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ symmetric in (x,y). Then the following operator inequality holds*

$$e^{\mathscr{B}(k)} \mathcal{N} e^{-\mathscr{B}(k)} \lesssim \mathcal{N} + 1 \tag{79}$$

uniformly in time.

Lemma 6.2. *Let us define the reduced dynamics, denote by ψ_{red}, to be*

$$\psi_{red}(t) := e^{\mathscr{B}(k_t)} e^{\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}(\phi_t)} e^{it\mathscr{H}_N} e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}(\phi_0)} \Omega. \tag{80}$$

Then we have the following estimates

$$\left\| \mathcal{N} e^{-\mathscr{B}} \psi_{red} \right\|_{\mathcal{F}} \lesssim \sqrt{N} \left\| (\mathcal{N}+1)^{1/2} \psi_{red} \right\|_{\mathcal{F}} \quad \text{and} \tag{81}$$

$$\| \mathcal{N}^{1/2} \psi_{red} \|_{\mathcal{F}} \lesssim \sqrt{N} \| \psi_{exact} - \psi_{approx} \|_{\mathcal{F}}. \tag{82}$$

Following [25] and [19], we could rewrite the one particle marginal as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma^{(1)}_{N,t}(t,x,y) \\
&= \frac{1}{c_N^2 N} \left(e^{it\mathscr{H}} P_N e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} \Omega, a_x^\dagger a_y e^{it\mathscr{H}} e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} \Omega \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{c_N^2 N} \left(e^{it\mathscr{H}} P_N e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} \Omega, e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} \underbrace{e^{\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} a_x^\dagger e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}}}_{a_x^\dagger + \sqrt{N}\bar{\phi}} \underbrace{e^{\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} a_y e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}}}_{a_y + \sqrt{N}\phi} e^{\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} e^{it\mathscr{H}} e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} \Omega \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{c_N^2 N} \left(e^{it\mathscr{H}} P_N e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} \Omega, e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} a_x^\dagger a_y e^{\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} e^{it\mathscr{H}} e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} \Omega \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\phi(t,x)}{c_N^2 \sqrt{N}} \left(e^{it\mathscr{H}} P_N e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} \Omega, e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} a_y e^{\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} e^{it\mathscr{H}} e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} \Omega \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\bar{\phi}(t,y)}{c_N^2 \sqrt{N}} \left(e^{it\mathscr{H}} P_N e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} \Omega, e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} a_x^\dagger e^{\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} e^{it\mathscr{H}} e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} \Omega \right) + \phi(t,x)\bar{\phi}(t,y).
\end{aligned}$$

[14] In this short note, the pair excitation method is also referred to as the Fock space method

Here P_N is the projection operator onto the Nth sector of the Fock space. Moreover, the identities

$$e^{\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} a_x^\dagger e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} = a_x^\dagger + \sqrt{N}\bar{\phi} \quad \text{and} \quad e^{\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} a_x e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} = a_x + \sqrt{N}\phi \tag{83}$$

are direct consequences of the following Lie-type identity.

Using the above calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\gamma_N^{(1)}(t,x,y) - \phi(t,x)\bar{\phi}(t,y)\right| \leq & \frac{1}{c_N N}\left\| a_x^\dagger a_y e^{\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} e^{it\mathscr{H}} P_N e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}}\Omega \right\|_{\mathcal{F}} \\ & + \frac{|\phi(t,y)|}{c_N\sqrt{N}}\left\| a_x e^{\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} e^{it\mathscr{H}} P_N e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}}\Omega \right\|_{\mathcal{F}} \\ & + \frac{|\phi(t,x)|}{c_N\sqrt{N}}\left\| a_y e^{\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} e^{it\mathscr{H}} P_N e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}}\Omega \right\|_{\mathcal{F}}\end{aligned}$$

which means

$$\begin{aligned}&\int dxdy\ \left|\gamma_N^{(1)}(t,x,y) - \phi(t,x)\bar{\phi}(t,y)\right|^2 \\ &\quad \lesssim \frac{1}{c_N^2 N^2}\left\| \mathcal{N} e^{\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} e^{it\mathscr{H}} e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}}\Omega \right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 + \frac{1}{c_N^2 N}\left\| \mathcal{N}^{1/2} e^{\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}} e^{it\mathscr{H}} e^{-\sqrt{N}\mathscr{A}}\Omega \right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{c_N^2 N^2}\left\| \mathcal{N} e^{-\mathscr{B}}\psi_{\text{red}} \right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 + \frac{1}{c_N^2 N}\left\| \mathcal{N}^{1/2} e^{-\mathscr{B}}\psi_{\text{red}} \right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Applying lemma (6.1) and (6.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int dxdy\ \left|\gamma_N^{(1)}(t,x,y) - \phi(t,x)\bar{\phi}(t,y)\right|^2 \\ &\quad \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{3/2}}\left\| \mathcal{N} e^{-\mathscr{B}}\psi_{\text{red}} \right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\left\| \mathcal{N}^{1/2}\psi_{\text{red}} \right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 \\ &\quad \lesssim \sqrt{N}\| \psi_{\text{exact}} - \psi_{\text{approx}} \|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Again by remark 1.4 given in [25], we have provided both a derivation of the Focusing Schrödinger equation and a rate of convergence of the N body interacting Bosonic system toward mean field for β in the range $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{6}$.

Remark 6.3. *One should note we could only use part one of Theorem 2.3 for our derivation of the focusing NLS since we are considering evolution of coherent states, i.e.* $k(0,\cdot) = 0$.

6.2 Pickl's Method

Following closely the presentation in [23], we consider the quantities

Definition 6.4. *Let* $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$

(a) For each $1 \leq j \leq N$ *we define the projectors* $p_j^\phi : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ *and* $q_j^\phi : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ *given by*

$$p_j^\phi \Psi_N(x_1,\ldots,x_N) = \phi(x_j)\int \psi^*(x_j')\Psi_N(x_1,\ldots,x_j',\ldots,x_N)dx_j$$

and $q_j^\phi = 1 - p_j^\phi$ *respectively.*

(b) Furthermore, for any $1 \leq k \leq N$ we defined $P_k^\phi : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ given by

$$P_k^\phi := \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}_k} \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} (p_\ell^\phi)^{1-a_\ell} (q_\ell^\phi)^{a_\ell}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_k = \{(a_1, \ldots, a_N) \mid a_i \in \{0,1\} \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i = k\}$$

(c) Assume $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. Let us define the function $m^\lambda : \{1, \ldots, N\} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ given by

$$m^\lambda(k) := \begin{cases} k/N^\lambda, \text{ for } k \leq N^\lambda, \\ 1, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and a corresponding functional $\alpha_N^\lambda : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ given by

$$\alpha_N^\lambda(\Psi_N, \phi) := \langle \Psi_N, \sum_{k=1}^{N} m^\lambda(k) P_j^\phi \Psi_N \rangle = \langle \Psi_N, \hat{m}^{\lambda,\phi} \Psi_N \rangle = \| (\hat{m}^{\lambda,\phi})^{1/2} \Psi_N \|^2.$$

For convenience, we shall use the notation α_N instead of α_N^1.

As a direct consequence of the definitions, one could verify the following

$$\alpha_N(\Psi_N, \phi) = \| q_1^\phi \Psi_N \|^2 \leq \alpha_N^\lambda(\Psi_N, \phi)$$

for $0 < \lambda < 1$. Again, by the definition, we could derive an error bound for the rate of convergence of the one particle density towards the mean field limit

$$\begin{aligned} \| \gamma_N^{(1)} - |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \|_{\text{op}} &\leq \big| \| p_1^\phi \Psi_N \|^2 - 1 \big| \| |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \|_{\text{op}} + 2 \| q_1^\phi \Psi_N \| \| p_1^\phi \Psi_N \| + \| q_1^\phi \Psi_N \|^2 \\ &\leq \big| \| p_1^\phi \Psi_N \|^2 - 1 \big| + 2 \| q_1^\phi \Psi_N \| \| p_1^\phi \Psi_N \| + \| q_1^\phi \Psi_N \|^2 \\ &\lesssim \| q_1^\phi \Psi_N \|^2 + \| q_1^\phi \Psi_N \| \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$ is a rank one projection operator, by remark 1.4 in [25] the trace norm is two times the operator norm, i.e., $2 \| \gamma_N^{(1)} - |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \|_{\text{op}} = \text{Tr} \left| \gamma_N^{(1)} - |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \right|$. Then it follows from the above estimates

$$\text{Tr} \left| \gamma_{N,t}^{(1)} - |\phi_t\rangle\langle\phi_t| \right| \lesssim \alpha_N^\lambda(\Psi_N, \phi_t) + \sqrt{\alpha_N^\lambda(\Psi_N, \phi_t)}. \tag{84}$$

Thus to obtain a rate of convergence for the error it suffices to prove an estimate for $\alpha_N^\lambda(\Psi_N, \phi)$. Let us now state the main theorem in [23] which we will use to derive the focusing NLS:

Theorem 6.5. *Assume $0 < \lambda, \beta < 1$ and v_N satisfying the same conditions as before. Assume for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a solution to the linear N-body Schrödinger equation $\Psi_N(t,x)$ and a L^∞ solution of the mean field equation ψ_t on some interval $[0,T)$ with $T \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\}$. Then for any $t \in [0,T)$*

$$\alpha_N^\lambda(\Psi_{N,t}, \psi_t) \leq \exp\left(\int_0^t C_v \| \phi_s \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \, ds \right) \alpha_N^\lambda(\Psi_{N,0}, \phi_0)$$

$$+\left[\exp\left(C_v\int_0^t \|\,\phi_s\,\|_\infty^2\,ds\right)-1\right]\sup_{0\le s\le t}K^{\phi_s}N^{\delta_\lambda}$$

where $\delta_\lambda=\frac{1}{2}\max\{1-\lambda-4\beta,3\beta-\lambda,-1+\lambda+3\beta\}$, C_v *is some constant depending only on* v *and*

$$K^\phi:=C_v(\|\,\Delta|\phi|^2\,\|+\|\,\phi\,\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}+1)\|\,\phi\,\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Note if $\Psi_N(0,x)=\phi^{\otimes N}$ then $\alpha_N^\lambda(\phi^{\otimes N},\phi)=0$. Hence combining with our above decay result for ϕ satisfying the Hartree equation

$$\frac{1}{i}\partial_t\phi-\Delta\phi+(\int v)|\phi|^2\phi=0$$

we have that

$$\alpha_N^\lambda(\Psi_{N,t},\varphi_t)\le\left[\exp\left(C_v\int_0^t\|\,\phi_s\,\|_\infty^2\,ds\right)-1\right]\sup_{0\le s\le t}K^{\phi_s}N^{\delta_\lambda}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}K^{\phi_t}&=C_v(\|\,\Delta|\phi_t|^2\,\|+\|\,\phi_t\,\|_\infty+1)\|\,\phi_t\,\|_\infty\\&\lesssim(\|\,|\nabla\phi_t|^2\,\|+\|\,\phi_t\Delta\bar{\phi}_t\,\|+\|\,\phi_t\,\|_\infty+1)\|\,\phi_t\,\|_\infty\\&\lesssim(\|\,\nabla\phi_t\,\|_\infty\|\,\nabla\phi_t\,\|_2+\|\,\phi_t\,\|_\infty\|\,\nabla^2\phi_t\,\|+\|\,\phi_t\,\|_\infty+1)\|\,\phi_t\,\|_\infty\\&\lesssim\frac{1}{1+t^{3/2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left|\gamma_N^{(1)}-|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|\right|\lesssim\sqrt{\alpha_N^\lambda(\Psi_{N,t},\varphi_t)}\lesssim N^{\delta_\lambda/2}.$$

By remark 1 in [23], we see there is a choice of λ such that $\delta_\lambda<0$ when $0<\beta<\frac{1}{6}$. □

References

[1] Bratteli, O., & Robinson, D. W. *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics: Vol 2: Equilibrium States. Models in Quantum Statistical Mechanics.* New York: Springer-Verlag.

[2] Chen, X., & Holmer, J. *Focusing Quantum Many-body Dynamics: The Rigorous Derivation of the 1D Focusing Cubic Nonlinear Schr?dinger Equation*, Arch Rational Mech Anal Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 221(2), 631-676.

[3] Chen, X., & Holmer, J. *The Rigorous Derivation of the 2D Cubic Focusing NLS from Quantum Many-Body Evolution.* Int Math Res Notices International Mathematics Research Notices

[4] Dereziński, J., & Gérard, *Mathematics of Quantization and Quantum Fields.* United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.

[5] Elgart, A., Erdös, L., Schlein, B., & Yau, H. *Gross-Pitaevskii Equation as the Mean Field Limit of Weakly Coupled Bosons*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 179(2), 265-283.

[6] Erdös, L., & Yau, H. *Derivation of the Nonlinear Schr?dinger Equation From a Many-Body Coulomb System*, Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, 5(6), 1169-1205.

[7] Erdös, L., Schlein, B., & Yau, H. . *Derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii Hierarchy for the Dynamics of Bose-Einstein Condensate*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 59(12), 1659-1741.

[8] Erdös, L., Schlein, B., & Yau, H. *Derivation of the Cubic Non-linear Schr?dinger Equation From Quantum Dynamics of Many-Body Systems*, Inventiones Mathematicae Invent. Math., 167(3), 515-614.

[9] Erdös, L., Schlein, B., & Yau, H. *Rigorous Derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii Equation*, Phys. Rev. Lett. Physical Review Letters, 98(4).

[10] Erdös, L., Schlein, B., & Yau, H. *Derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii Equation for the Dynamics of Bose-Einstein Condensate*, Ann. Math. Annals of Mathematics, 172(1), 291-370.

[11] Folland, G. B. *Harmonic Analysis in Phase Space.* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

[12] Golse, F. *The Mean-Field Limit for the Dynamics of Large Particle Systems.* Journées Équations Aux Dérivées Partielles, 1-47.

[13] Grillakis, M. & Machedon, M. *Beyond Mean Field: On The Role Of Pair Excitations in the Evolution of Condensates*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 91-111. Print.

[14] Grillakis, M. & Machedon, M. *Pair Excitations and the Mean Field Approximation of Interacting Bosons, I*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 601-636. Print.

[15] Grillakis, M. & Machedon, M. *Pair Excitations and the Mean Field Approximation of Interacting Bosons II*, Preprint.

[16] Grillakis, M. G., Machedon, M., & Margetis, D. *Second-Order Corrections to Mean Field Evolution of Weakly Interacting Bosons, I.* Commun. Math. Phys. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 294(1), 273-301

[17] Grillakis, M., Machedon, M., & Margetis, D. *Second-Order Corrections to Mean Field Evolution of Weakly Interacting Bosons, II.* Advances in Mathematics, 228(3), 1788-1815.

[18] Keel, M. A., & Tao, T. *Endpoint Strichartz Estimates.* American Journal of Mathematics, 120(5), 955-980.

[19] Kuz, Elif. *Rate of Convergence to Mean Field for Interacting Bosons*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations, 40(10), 1831-1854

[20] Kuz, Elif. *Exact Evolution versus Mean Field with Second-order Correction for Bosons Interacting via Short-range Two-body Potential*, Preprint.

[21] Lieb, E. H., Seiringer, R., Solovej, J. P., & Yngvason, J. *The Mathematics of the Bose Gas and Its Condensation.* United States: Birkhäuser.

[22] Pickl, P. *A Simple Derivation of Mean Field Limits for Quantum Systems*, Lett Math Phys Letters in Mathematical Physics, 97(2), 151-164

[23] Pickl, P. *Derivation of the Time Dependent Gross-Pitaevskii Equation Without Positivity Condition on the Interaction*, Journal of Statistical Physics J Stat Phys, 140(1), 76-89

[24] Reed, M., & Simon, B. *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics: Vol. 1: Functional Analysis*, New York: Academic Press.

[25] Rodnianski, I. & Schlein, B. *Quantum Fluctuations and Rate of Convergence Towards Mean Field Dynamics*, Commun. Math. Phys. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 291(1), 31-61.

Jacky Chong, Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742
E-mail address: jwchong@math.umd.edu